

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Serie 6041 10/632,232 07/31/2003 John E. Schreiber 2544 **EXAMINER** 03/20/2006 7590 DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES Air Liquide Suite 1800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2700 Post Oak Blvd. Houston, TX 77056 3744

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		6,
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,232	SCHREIBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William C. Doerrler	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	<del></del>	
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-30 and 45-50 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-30 and 45-50 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/lail Date
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-17-2006</li> </ul>	6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-18 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the '896 Japanese reference from the IDS.

The '896 Japanese reference discloses a system for entraining gaseous ozone into liquid carbon dioxide and then solidifying the carbon dioxide. Line 15 of page 552 of the reference states that compressed ozone may be injected into liquid carbon dioxide. The 5.3 atm minimum pressure of the example converts to 77.9 psi. Since this is a minimum pressure, all claimed pressures are seen as met. The limitation of claim 18 is claimed as optional, so this claim is seen as fully met.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Page 3

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19-27,45-47,49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '896 Japanese reference from the IDS in view of Hyde (5,426,948).

The Japanese '896 Japanese reference discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a method for forming dry ice with entrained ozone, substantially as claimed with the exception of forming the dry ice into blocks or pellets. Hyde a dry ice forming machine that expands liquid carbon dioxide to form dry ice which may be pressed into blocks or pellets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Hyde to modify the dry ice system of the '896 Japanese reference by forming the produced solid carbon dioxide into blocks or pellets to provide the size and shape that best fits the requirements of many different situations. Brill shows contacting liquid about to be frozen to form solid blocks used for refrigerating with gaseous ozone to keep the resulting solid free or microbial growth to be old in the refrigeration art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Brill to modify the dry ice forming process of Hyde by injecting gaseous ozone into the liquid before solidification

Art Unit: 3744

to provide a solid which will provide refrigeration as well as reduce the growth of microbes in the cooled area or substance. In regard to claim 22, the pressure of the liquid carbon dioxide is not given in Hyde, but is seen as a matter of obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner in the art since it is well known that the liquid need to be pressurized, but overpressurization will result in wasted energy. In regard to claims 25,26 and 49, the provision of high pressure liquid carbon dioxide which is expanded either prior to or during the entrainment of the ozone is seen as obvious design choice for an ordinary practitioner to maximize the entrainment while preserving safety in a system which may accept products at various pressures which are convenient for the transport of the products, not the reactions that they will be used for.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hyde in view of Slattery.

Hyde discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a dry ice forming machine that expands liquid carbon dioxide to form dry ice which may be pressed into blocks or pellets, substantially as claimed with the exception of contacting the solid formed with gaseous ozone. Slattery shows contacting solid blocks used for refrigerating with gaseous ozone to keep the solid free or microbial growth to be old in the refrigeration art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention from the teaching of Slattery to modify the dry ice forming process of Hyde by injecting gaseous ozone into solid to reduce the growth of microbes in the cooled area or substance. Slattery does not state the pressure of the gas containing ozone. However, the top of column 4 states that the solid is moved due to the gas

pressure. Applicant's claimed pressure is seen as a matter of design choice given that the pressure must be high enough to move the frozen solid vertically.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Japanese reference shows contacting liquid to be solidified and solidified with ozone to reduce microbial growth. In regard to claims 28-30 it is noted that line 43 of column 2 of Slattery states that the ozone can be continuously injected, even when the ice is being transported. When combined with the solid carbon dioxide of Hyde, one obtains applicants' process of entraining the ozone, even if it is not the initial purpose of the ozone.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,232 Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD